ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Parts 9, 122, 123, and 124 [FRL--6470-8] RIN 2040-AC82

National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System—Regulations for **Revision of the Water Pollution Control Program Addressing Storm Water** Discharges

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: Today's regulations (Phase II) expand the existing National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) storm water program (Phase I) to address storm water discharges from small municipal separate storm sewer systems (MS4s) (those serving less than 100,000 persons) and construction sites that disturb one to five acres. Although these sources are automatically designated by today's rule, the rule allows for the exclusion of certain sources from the national program based on a demonstration of the lack of impact on water quality, as well as the inclusion of others based on a higher likelihood of localized adverse impact on water quality. Today's regulations also exclude from the NPDES program storm water discharges from industrial facilities that have "no exposure" of industrial activities or materials to storm water. Finally, today's rule extends from August 7, 2001 until March 10, 2003 the deadline by which certain industrial facilities owned by small MS4s must obtain coverage under an NPDES permit. This rule establishes a cost-effective, flexible approach for reducing environmental harm by storm water discharges from many point sources of storm water that are currently unregulated.

EPA believes that the implementation of the six minimum measures identified for small MS4s should significantly reduce pollutants in urban storm water compared to existing levels in a costeffective manner. Similarly, EPA believes that implementation of Best Management Practices (BMP) controls at small construction sites will also result in a significant reduction in pollutant discharges and an improvement in surface water quality. EPA believes this rule will result in monetized financial, recreational and health benefits, as well as benefits that EPA has been unable to monetize. Expected benefits include reduced scouring and erosion of streambeds, improved aesthetic quality

of waters, reduced eutrophication of aquatic systems, benefit to wildlife and endangered and threatened species, tourism benefits, biodiversity benefits and reduced costs for siting reservoirs. In addition, the costs of industrial storm water controls will decrease due to the exclusion of storm water discharges from facilities where there is "no exposure" of storm water to industrial activities and materials.

DATES: This regulation is effective on February 7, 2000. The incorporation by reference of the rainfall erosivity factor publication listed in the rule is approved by the Director of the Federal Register as of February 7, 2000. For judicial review purposes, this final rule is promulgated as of 1:00 p.m. Eastern Standard Time, on December 22, 1999 as provided in 40 CFR 23.2.

ADDRESSES: The complete administrative record for the final rule and the ICR have been established under docket numbers W-97-12 (rule) and W-97-15 (ICR), and includes supporting documentation as well as printed, paper versions of electronic comments. Copies of information in the record are available upon request. A reasonable fee may be charged for copying. The record is available for inspection and copying from 9 a.m. to 4 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding legal holidays, at the Water Docket, EPA, East Tower Basement, 401 M Street, SW, Washington, DC. For access to docket materials, please call 202/260-3027 to schedule an appointment.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: George Utting, Office of Wastewater Management, Environmental Protection Agency, Mail Code 4203, 401 M Street, SW, Washington, DC 20460; (202) 260-5816; sw2@epa.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Entities potentially regulated by this action include:

Category	Examples of regulated entities
Federal, State, Tribal, and Local Gov- ernments.	Operators of small separate storm sewer systems, in- dustrial facilities that dis- charge storm water asso- ciated with industrial activ- ity or construction activity
Industry	disturbing 1 to 5 acres. Operators of industrial facilities that discharge storm water associated with industrial activity.
Construction Activity.	Operators of construction activity disturbing 1 to 5 acres.

This table is not intended to be exhaustive, but rather provides a guide

for readers regarding entities likely to be regulated by this action. This table lists the types of entities that EPA is now aware could potentially be regulated by this action. Other types of entities not listed in the table could also be regulated. To determine whether your facility or company is regulated by this action, you should carefully examine the applicability criteria in §§ 122.26(b), 122.31, 122.32, and 123.35 of the final rule. If you have questions regarding the applicability of this action to a particular entity, consult the person listed in the preceding FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT section.

Table of Contents:

- I. Background
 - A. Proposed Rule and Pre-proposal
- B. Water Quality Concerns/Environmental Impact Studies and Assessments
- 1. Urban Development
- a. Large-Scale Studies and Assessments
- b. Local and Watershed-Based Studies
- c. Beach Closings/Advisories
- Non-storm Water Discharges Through Municipal Storm Sewers
- 3. Construction Site Runoff
- C. Statutory Background D. EPA's Reports to Congress
- E. Industrial Facilities Owned or Operated by Small Municipalities
- F. Related Nonpoint Source Programs
- II. Description of Program
 - A. Overview
 - 1. Objectives EPA Seeks to Achieve in Today's Rule
 - General Requirements for Regulated Entities Under Today's Rule
 - 3. Integration of Today's Rule With the Existing Storm Water Program
 - 4. General Permits
 - 5. Tool Box
 - 6. Deadlines Established in Today's Action
 - B. Readable Regulations
- C. Program Framework: NPDES Approach
- D. Federal Role
- 1. Develop Overall Framework of the
- 2. Encourage Consideration of "Smart Growth" Approaches
- 3. Provide Financial Assistance
- 4. Implement the Program in Jurisdictions not Authorized to Administer the NPDES Program
- 5. Oversee State and Tribal Programs
- 6. Comply with Applicable Requirements as a Discharger
- E. State Role
- 1. Develop the Program
- 2. Comply With Applicable Requirements as a Discharger
- 3. Communicate with EPA
- F. Tribal Role
- G. NPDES Permitting Authority's Role for the NPDES Storm Water Small MS4 Program
- 1. Comply With Implementation Requirements
- 2. Designate Sources
- a. Develop Designation Criteria
- b. Apply Designation Criteria

- c. Designate Physically Interconnected Small MS4s
- d. Respond to Public Petitions for Designation
- 3. Provide Waivers
- 4. Issue Permits
- 5. Support and Oversee the Local Programs
- H. Municipal Role
- Scope of Today's Rule
- 2. Municipal Definitions
- a. Municipal Separate Storm Sewer Systems (MS4s)
- b. Small Municipal Separate Storm Sewer Systems
- i. Combined Sewer Systems (CSS)
- ii. Owners/Operators
- c. Regulated Small MS4s
- i. Urbanized Area Description
- ii. Rationale for Using Urbanized Areas
- d. Municipal Designation by the Permitting Authority
- e. Waiving the Requirements for Small MS4s
- 3. Municipal Permit Requirements
- a. Overview
- i. Summary of Permitting Options
- ii. Water Quality-Based Requirements
- iii. Maximum Extent Practicable
- b. Program Requirements-Minimum Control Measures
- i. Public Education and Outreach on Storm Water Impacts
- ii. Public Involvement/Participation
- iii. Illicit Discharge Detection and Elimination
- iv. Construction Site Storm Water Runoff Control
- v. Post-Construction Storm Water Management in New Development and Redevelopment
- vi. Pollution Prevention/Good Housekeeping for Municipal Operations
- c. Application Requirements
- i. Best Management Practices and Measurable Goals
- ii. Individual Permit Application for a § 122.34(b) Program
- iii. Alternative Permit Option/ Tenth Amendment
- iv. Satisfaction of Minimum Measure Obligations by Another Entity
- v. Joint Permit Programs
- d. Evaluation and Assessment
- i. Recordkeeping
- ii. Reporting
- iii. Permit-As-A-Shield
- e. Other Applicable NPDES Requirements
- f. Enforceability
- g. Deadlines
- h. Reevaluation of Rule
- I. Other Designated Storm Water Discharges
- 1. Discharges Associated with Small Construction Activity
- a. Scope
- b. Waivers
- i. Rainfall-Erosivity Waiver
- ii. Water Quality Waiver
- c. Permit Process and Administration
- d. Cross-Referencing State, Tribal, or Local Erosion and Sediment Control Programs
- e. Alternative Approaches
- 2. Other Sources
- 3. ISTEA Sources
- 4. Residual Designation Authority

- I. Conditional Exclusion for "No Exposure" of Industrial Activities and Materials to
- Background
- 2. Today's Rule
- 3. Definition of "No Exposure"
- K. Public Involvement/Public Role
- L. Water Quality Issues
- 1. Water Quality Based Effluent Limits 2. Total Maximum Daily Loads and Analysis to Determine the Need for
- Water Quality-Based Limitations 3. Anti-Backsliding
- 4. Water Quality-Based Waivers and Designations
- III. Cost-Benefit Analysis
- A. Costs
- 1. Municipal Costs
- 2. Construction Costs
- B. Quantitative Benefits
- 1. National Water Quality Model
- 2. National Water Quality Assessment
- a. Municipal Measures
- i. Fresh Waters Benefits
- ii. Marine Waters Benefits
- b. Construction Benefits
- c. Summary of Benefits From the National Water Quality Assessment
- C. Qualitative Benefits
- D. National Economic Impact
- IV. Regulatory Requirements
- A. Paperwork Reduction Act B. Executive Order 12866
- C. Unfunded Mandates Reform Act
- 1. Summary of UMRA Section 202 Written Statement
- Selection of the Least Costly, Most Cost-Effective or Least Burdensome Alternative That Achieves the Objectives of the Statute
- 3. Effects on Small Governments
- D. Executive Order 13132
- E. Regulatory Flexibility Act
- F. National Technology Transfer And Advancement Act
- G. Executive Order 13045
- H. Executive Order 13084
- I. Congressional Review Act

I. Background

A. Proposed Rule and Pre-Proposal Outreach

On January 9, 1998 (63 FR 1536), EPA proposed to expand the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) storm water program to include storm water discharges from municipal separate storm sewer systems (MS4s) and construction sites that were smaller than those previously included in the program. The proposal also addressed industrial sources that have "no exposure" of industrial activities and materials to storm water. Today, EPA is promulgating a final rule to implement most of the proposed revisions with minor changes based on public comments received on the proposal. Today's final rule also extends the deadline by which certain industrial facilities operated by municipalities of less than 100,000 population must be covered by a NPDES permit; the

deadline is changed from August 7, 2001 until March 10, 2003.

In 1972, Congress amended the Federal Water Pollution Control Act (commonly referred to as the Clean Water Act (CWA)) to prohibit the discharge of any pollutant to waters of the United States from a point source unless the discharge is authorized by an NPDES permit. The NPDES program is a program designed to track point sources and require the implementation of the controls necessary to minimize the discharge of pollutants. Initial efforts to improve water quality under the NPDES program primarily focused on reducing pollutants in industrial process wastewater and municipal sewage. These discharge sources were easily identified as responsible for poor, often drastically degraded, water quality

As pollution control measures for industrial process wastewater and municipal sewage were implemented and refined, it became increasingly evident that more diffuse sources of water pollution were also significant causes of water quality impairment. Specifically, storm water runoff draining large surface areas, such as agricultural and urban land, was found to be a major cause of water quality impairment, including the nonattainment of designated beneficial

In 1987, Congress amended the CWA to require implementation, in two phases, of a comprehensive national program for addressing storm water discharges. The first phase of the program, commonly referred to as "Phase I," was promulgated on November 16, 1990 (55 FR 47990). Phase I requires NPDES permits for storm water discharge from a large number of priority sources including municipal separate storm sewer systems ("MS4s") generally serving populations of 100,000 or more and several categories of industrial activity, including construction sites that disturb five or more acres of land.

Today's rule, which is the second phase of the storm water program, expands the existing program to include discharges of storm water from smaller municipalities in urbanized areas and from construction sites that disturb between one and five acres of land. Today's rule allows certain sources to be excluded from the national program based on a demonstrable lack of impact on water quality. The rule also allows other sources not automatically regulated on a national basis to be designated for inclusion based on increased likelihood for localized adverse impact on water quality.

EPA received several comments regarding the timing of when the "no exposure" certification should be submitted. The proposed rule said that the "no exposure" certification notice must be submitted "at the beginning of each permit term or prior to commencing discharges during a permit term." Some commenters interpreted this statement to mean that existing facilities can only submit the certification at the time a permit is being issued or renewed. EPA intended the phrase "at the beginning of each permit term" to mean "once every 5 years" and today's rule reflects this clarification. EPA envisions that the NPDES storm water program will be implemented primarily through general permits which are issued for a 5 year term. Likewise the "no exposure" certification term is 5 years. The NPDES permitting authority will maintain a simple registration list that should impose only a minor administrative burden on the permitting authority. The registration list will allow for tracking of industrial facilities claiming the exclusion. This change allows a facility to submit a "no exposure" certification at any time during the term of the permit, provided that a new certification is submitted every 5 years from the time it is first submitted (assuming that the facility maintains a "no exposure" status). Once a discharger has established that the facility meets the definition of "no exposure", and submits the necessary "no exposure" certification, the discharger must maintain their "no exposure" status. Failure to maintain "no exposure" at their facility could result in the unauthorized discharge of pollutants to waters of the United States and enforcement for violation of the CWA. Where a discharger believes that exposure could occur in the future due to some anticipated change at the facility, the discharger should submit an application and obtain coverage under an NPDES permit prior to such discharge to avoid penalties.

Where EPA is the permitting authority, dischargers may submit a "no exposure" certification at any time after the effective date of today's rule. Where EPA is not the permitting authority, dischargers may not be able to submit the certification until the non-federal permitting authority completes any necessary statutory or regulatory changes to adopt this "no exposure" provision. EPA recommends that the discharger contact the permitting authority for guidance on when the "no exposure" certification should be submitted.

EPA received comments on the proposed rule requirement that the

discharger "must comply immediately with all the requirements of the storm water program including applying for and obtaining coverage under an NPDES permit," if changes occur at the facility which cause exposure of industrial activities or materials to storm water. The comments expressed the difficultly of immediate compliance. EPA expects that most facility changes can be anticipated, therefore dischargers should apply for and obtain NPDES permit coverage in advance of changes that result in exposure to industrial activities or materials. Permitting authorities may grant additional time, on a case-by-case basis, for preparation and implementation of a storm water pollution prevention plan.

Finally, today's rule at § 122.26(g)(4) includes the information which must be included on the "no exposure" certification. Authorized States, Tribes or U.S. Territories may develop their own form which includes this required information, at a minimum. EPA adopted the requirements (with modification) from the draft "No Exposure Certification Form" published as an appendix to the proposed rule. Modifications were made to the draft form to address comments received and to streamline the required information. EPA included these certification requirements in today's rule in order to preserve its integrity. Dischargers in areas where EPA is the permitting authority should use the "No Exposure Certification" form included in Appendix 4.

11pponum 1.

3. Definition of "No Exposure"

For purposes of this section, "no exposure" means that all industrial materials or activities are protected by a storm resistant shelter to prevent exposure to rain, snow, snowmelt, and/ or runoff. Industrial materials or activities include, but are not limited to. material handling equipment or activities, industrial machinery, raw materials, intermediate products, byproducts, final products, or waste products. Material handling activities include the storage, loading and unloading, transportation, or conveyance of any raw material, intermediate product, final product or waste product. However, storm resistant shelter is not required for: (1) Drums, barrels, tanks, and similar containers that are tightly sealed, provided those containers are not deteriorated and do not leak; (2) adequately maintained vehicles used in material handling; and (3) final products, other than products that would be mobilized in storm water discharge (e.g., rock salt). Each of these three exceptions to the no exposure

definition are discussed in more detail

EPA intends the term "storm resistant shelter" to include completely roofed and walled buildings or structures, as well as structures with only a top cover but no side coverings, provided material under the structure is not otherwise subject to any run-on and subsequent runoff of storm water. While the Agency intends that this provision promote permanent "no exposure", EPA understands that certain vehicles could pass between buildings and, during passage, be exposed to rain and snow. Adequately maintained vehicles such as trucks, automobiles, forklifts, or other such general purpose vehicles at the industrial site that are not industrial machinery, and that are not leaking contaminants or are not otherwise a source of industrial pollutants, could be exposed to precipitation or runoff. Such activities alone does not prevent a discharger from being able to certify no exposure under this provision. Similarly, trucks or other vehicles awaiting maintenance at vehicle maintenance facilities, as defined at § 122.26(b)(14)(viii), that are not leaking contaminants or are not otherwise a source of industrial pollutants, are not

considered exposed.

In addition, EPA recognizes that there are circumstances where permanent "no exposure" of industrial activities or materials is not possible. Under such conditions, materials and activities may be sheltered with temporary covers, such as tarps, between periods of permanent enclosure. The final rule does not specify every such situation. EPA intends that permitting authorities will address this issue on a case-by-case basis. Permitting authorities can determine the circumstances under which temporary structures will or will not meet the requirements of this section. Until permitting authorities specifically determine otherwise, EPA recommends application of the "no exposure" exclusion for temporary sheltering of industrial materials or activities only during facility renovation or construction, provided that the temporary shelter achieves the intent of this section. Moreover, "exposure" that results from a leak in protective covering would only be considered "exposure" if not corrected prior to the next storm water discharge event. EPA received one comment requesting that this allowance for temporary shelter be limited to facility renovation or construction directly related to the industrial activity requiring temporary shelter, and be scheduled to minimize the use of temporary shelter. Another comment suggested placing time limits

Members of the FACA Committee urged that EPA not allow dischargers certifying "no exposure" to take actions to qualify for this provision that result in a net environmental detriment. In developing a regulatory implementation mechanism, however, EPA found that the phrase "no net environmental detriment," was too imprecise to use within this context. Therefore, today's rule addresses this issue by requiring information that should help the permitting authority to determine whether actions taken to qualify for the exclusion interfere with the attainment or maintenance of water quality standards, including designated uses. Permitting authorities will be able, where necessary, to make a determination by evaluating the activities that changed at the industrial site to achieve "no exposure", and assess whether these changes cause an adverse impact on, or have the reasonable potential to cause an instream excursion of, water quality standards, including designated uses. EPA anticipates that many efforts to achieve "no exposure" will employ simple good housekeeping and contaminant cleanup activities. Other efforts may involve moving materials and industrial activities indoors into existing buildings or structures.

In very limited cases, industrial operators may make major changes at a site to achieve "no exposure". These efforts may include constructing a new building or cover to eliminate exposure or constructing structures to prevent run-on and storm water contact with industrial materials or activities. Where major changes to achieve "no exposure" increase the impervious area of the site, the facility operator must provide this information on the "no exposure" certification form as discussed above. Using this and other available data and information, permitting authorities should be able to assess whether any major change has resulted in increased pollutant concentrations or loadings, toxicity of the storm water runoff, or a change in natural hydrological patterns that would interfere with the attainment and maintenance of water quality standards, including designated uses or appropriate narrative, chemical, biological, or habitat criteria where such State or Tribal water quality standards exist. In these instances, the facility operator and their NPDES permitting authority should take appropriate actions to ensure that attainment or maintenance of water quality standards can be achieved. The NPDES permitting authority should decide if the facility must obtain coverage under an

individual or general permit to ensure that appropriate actions are taken to address adverse water quality impacts.

While the intent of today's "no exposure" provision is to reduce the regulatory burdens on industrial facilities and government agencies, the FACA Committee suggested that the NPDES permitting authority consider a compliance assessment program to ensure that facilities that have availed themselves of this "no exposure" option meet the applicable requirements. Inspections could be conducted at the discretion of the NPDES authority and be coordinated with other facility inspections. EPA expects, however, that the permitting authority will conduct inspections when it becomes aware of potential water quality impacts possibly caused by the facility's storm water discharges or when requested to do so by adversely affected members of the public. The intent of this provision is that the 5 year "no exposure" certification be fully available to, and enforceable by, appropriate federal and State authorities under the CWA. Private citizens can enforce against facilities for discharges of storm water that are inconsistent with a "no exposure" certification if storm water discharges from such facilities are not otherwise permitted and in compliance with applicable requirements.

EPA received comments from owners, operators and representatives of Phase I facilities classified as "light industry" as defined by the regulations at \S 122.26(b)(14)(xi). The comments recommended maintaining the approach of the existing regulations which does not require the discharger to submit any supporting documentation to the permitting authority in order to claim the "no exposure" exclusion from permitting. As discussed previously, the 'no exposure'' concept was developed in response to the Ninth Circuit court's remand of part of the existing rules back to EPA. The court found that EPA cannot rely on the "unverified judgment" of the facility. The comments opposing documentation did not address the "unverified judgment"

concern.

Today's rule is a "conditional" exclusion from permitting which requires all categories, including the "light industrial" facilities that have no exposure of materials to storm water, to submit a certification to the permitting authority. Upon receipt of a complete certification, the permitting authority can review the information, or call, or inspect the facility if there are doubts about the facility's "no exposure" claim. Also, if the facility discharges into an MS4, the operator of the MS4 can

request a copy of the certification, and can inspect the facility. The public can request a copy of the certification and/ or inspection reports. In adopting these conditional "no exposure" provisions, the Agency addressed the Ninth Circuit court's ruling regarding the discharger's unverified judgment.

EPA received one comment requesting clarification on whether the anti-backsliding provisions in the regulations at § 122.44(l) apply to industrial facilities that are currently covered under an NPDES storm water permit, and whether such facilities could qualify for the "no exposure" exclusion under today's rule. The antibacksliding provisions will not prevent most industrial facilities that can certify "no exposure" under today's rule from qualifying for an exclusion from permitting. The anti-backsliding provisions contain 5 exceptions that allow permits to be renewed, reissued or modified with less stringent conditions. One exception at $\S 122.44(1)(2)(A)$ allows less stringent conditions if "material and substantial alterations or additions to the permitted facility occurred after permit issuance which justify the application of a less stringent effluent limitation." Section 122.44(l)(B)(1) also allows less stringent requirements if "information is available which was not available at the time of permit issuance and which would have justified the application of less stringent effluent limitations at the time of permit issuance." Facility's operators who certify "no exposure" and submit the required information once every 5 years will have provided the permitting authority "information that was not available at the time of permit issuance." Also, some facilities may, in order to achieve "no exposure", make "material and substantial alterations or additions to the permitted facility." Therefore, most facilities covered under existing NPDES general permits for storm water (e.g., EPA's Multi-Sector General Permit) will be eligible for the conditional "no exposure" exclusion from permitting without concern about the antibacksliding provisions. Such dischargers will have met one or both of the anti-backsliding exceptions detailed above. Facilities that are covered under individual permits containing numeric limitations for storm water should consult with their permitting authority to determine whether the antibacksliding provisions will prevent them from qualifying for the exclusion from permitting (for that discharge point) based on a certification of "no exposure".